

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/068,411		MCNUTT ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee		1714	

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(2) Margaret Palmer.

Date of Interview: 23 October 2003

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: first office action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1200


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner requested restriction. GRoup I claims 1-15 for tire article ad group II claim 16 for method of reducing energy. Applicant's representative elected to prosecute group I claims 1-15 with traverse.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)